UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/675,843	09/30/2003	Jeyhan Karaoguz	14823US02	6899
	7590 04/15/200 S HELD & MALLOY,		EXAMINER	
500 WEST MADISON STREET SUITE 3400			MANIWANG, JOSEPH R	
CHICAGO, IL	60661		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2144	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/15/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/675,843	KARAOGUZ ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	JOSEPH R. MANIWANG	2144
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 J      This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This      Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under the second	s action is non-final. ince except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4)	own from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 15 January 2008 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	e: a) accepted or b) objected or b) objection is required if the drawing(s) is objection is required if the drawing(s) is objected or b).	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documen 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documen 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati prity documents have been receive nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

Art Unit: 2144

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 1. Claims 1-5, 7-16, 18-27, and 29-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Fritsch (U.S. Pat. App. Pub. 2002/0124258).
- 2. Regarding claims 1, Fritsch disclosed a method and system comprising automatically transferring one or more of media, data and/or service to a view of one or both of a first media processing system and/or a first personal computer within the distributed media network, wherein said automatic transfer is controlled by utilizing at least a first rule ("media delivery center receives media-rich broadcasts", see paragraph [0028], [0031], [0033]); and automatically routing said automatically transferred one or more of media, data and/or service from said view of said one or both of said first media processing system and/or said first personal computer to a view of one or both of a second media processing system and/or a second personal computer ("media programs are delivered to output devices by a media delivery system", see paragraph [0028], [0031], [0033]), wherein said first and second views comprise one or more of: a device view, a media view, and a channel view ("a particular channel", see paragraph [0033]).
- 3. Regarding claims 2, Fritsch disclosed the method and system comprising consuming said routed one or more of said media, data and/or service by said one or both of said second media processing system and/or said second personal computer (see paragraph [0039]).

Art Unit: 2144

4. Regarding claims 3, Fritsch disclosed the method and system comprising controlling said consumption by said one or both of said second media processing system and/or said second personal computer by utilizing at least a second rule (see paragraph [0046]).

- 5. Regarding claims 4, Fritsch disclosed the method and system comprising scheduling said consumption of said one or more of said media, data and/or service by said one or both of said second media processing system and/or said second personal computer utilizing said at least a second rule (see paragraph [0046]).
- 6. Regarding claims 5, Fritsch disclosed the method and system wherein said at least a second rule is a consumption rule (see paragraph [0046]).
- 7. Regarding claims 7, Fritsch disclosed the method and system comprising predefining said at least a first rule (see paragraph [0033], [0037]).
- 8. Regarding claims 8, Fritsch disclosed the method and system wherein said at least a first rule is a transfer rule (see paragraph [0033], [0037]).
- 9. Regarding claims 9, Fritsch disclosed the method and system comprising controlling said automatic routing utilizing at least a third rule (see paragraph [0033], [0037]).
- 10. Regarding claims 10, Fritsch disclosed the method and system comprising predefining said at least a third rule (see paragraph [0033], [0037]).
- 11. Regarding claims 11, Fritsch disclosed the method and system wherein said at least a third rule is a routing rule (see paragraph [0033], [0037]).

Art Unit: 2144

12. Claims 12-16, 18-27, and 29-34 substantially claim the same invention as claims 1-5 and 7-11. Accordingly, these claims are rejected under the same rationale detailed above.

## Response to Arguments

- 13. Applicant's arguments filed 01/15/08 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 14. Regarding claim 1 rejected under 35 U.S.C. as being anticipated by Fritsch, Applicant asserts that Fritsch does not disclose "wherein said automatic transfer is controlled by utilizing at least a first rule" as claimed. Applicant argues that "Fritsch does not describe how media content is transferred to the media center 300 and how the transfer is controlled" or "that the transfer of the content 302 is controlled in any way by a rule". Examiner notes that the claimed "first rule" is broadly recited, and is not limited by the claim language regarding any specific mechanics. At best, the claimed "first rule" as recited in claim 1 has the functionality of "controlling" the transfer of data to the first media processing system/computer. As acknowledged by Applicant, the media delivery center of Fritsch receives data (i.e., "transferring...media...to...a first media processing system", claim 1). Examiner submits that any number of provisions associated with the transfer of data between the media deliver center and content source of Fritsch reads on the broad concept of controlling such transfer using a "first rule". For example, the protocol used to transfer data ("media delivery center 202 can receive local TV broadcasts 204 and satellite broadcasts", paragraph [0031]), the format

of the data ("video, audio or graphic forms", paragraph [0031]), subscription rules ("end users subscribe to the media delivery system for various programs", paragraph [0031]), or security rules ("media program content 302 is encrypted", paragraph [0033]) can all be considered "rules" as they are clearly aspects that control the transfer of media content to the media delivery center. The breadth of the claim language allows for such a reasonable interpretation. While it may be argued that the reference does not specifically refer to such considerations as "rules", to consider the provisions governing data transfer noted above as rules would have been reasonably drawn from the disclosure of Fritsch. "[I]n considering the disclosure of a reference, it is proper to take into account not only specific teachings of the reference but also the inferences which one skilled in the art would reasonably be expected to draw therefrom." In re Preda, 401 F.2d 825, 826, 159 USPQ 342, 344 (CCPA 1968). See MPEP 2144.01. Accordingly, Examiner submits that Fritsch reads on the claim limitation argued.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JOSEPH R. MANIWANG whose telephone number is (571)272-3928. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William C. Vaughn can be reached on (571) 272-3922. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2144

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JM

/William C. Vaughn, Jr./
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2144